



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/026,823	12/27/2001	Hideki Uchimi	011767	9858
23850	7590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			KRAMER, JAMES A	
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	EET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006		3627		

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/026,823	UCHIMI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James A. Kramer	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)</li> <li>This 3)</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Example 25 or 25 or</li></ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 112.9 ✓	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/026,823

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 11-12 and 15-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over You et al. in view of *How the Internet Works*.

Yon et al. teaches a method and system for selecting product colors. Specifically, the Yon et al. teaches a color database (matchable color database) for a product (column 2; lines 30-31). In addition, the host computer provides an interface that permits the purchaser to interact with the host computer system. The interface includes an output portion and an input portion. The output portion conveys information from the host computer system and the input portion is used to convey information to the host computer system (column 2; lines 56-67). Examiner notes that this related to the conditions-designating processing unit.

Yon et al. further teaches that once the host computer revives color information from the user, a search of the color database of available colors for the product commences (column 4; lines 26-28). Examiner notes that this represents the color data transmitting unit.

Yon et al. also teaches an indexing tool which is a spectrum of colors transmitted from the host computer to the purchaser computer for display (column 6; lines 20-24). Examiner notes Application/Control Number: 10/026,823

Art Unit: 3627

that the spectrum represents Applicant's gamut. You et al. also teaches a virtual color table where the background is the target color and the "n" closest are swatches (column 5; lines25-27). Examiner notes that this represents Applicant's virtual item coloring process unit.

Yon et al. further teaches that the system is capable of determining whether a vendor has a product in the target color or a color close enough to the target color (column 3; lines15-20). Examiner note that this represents available stock confirming unit.

Yon et al. also teaches that the host computer includes an order database, access control database, database server and firewall. Where the order database is used to store sample orders made by a purchaser and/or product orders. The access control database operates in conjunction with the firewall to prevent unauthorized access to the host computer and enforce limitations on the authorized access (column 6; lines 63-67 and column 7 lines 1-20). Examiner notes that this represents the customer database and user identification feature required by Applicant's claims.

Examiner notes that it is inherent to the system of Yon et al. that the host computer store information related to dye, resin and pigment. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that these are fundamental to the coloring process and in order for the system of Yon et al. to provide colors and specifically custom colors this information must be part of the color database.

Yon further teaches a customer to initially identify the product in which they are interested (see for example column 3, lines 34-36). Examiner notes that this represents a predetermined identification of use correlated to the user. However, Yon does not specifically teach storing this product selection (use) in a customer database.

How the Internet Works teaches database used for the implementation of virtual shopping carts, which are portions of a Web site where customers place items (uses correlated to the user)

Application/Control Number: 10/026,823

Art Unit: 3627

they are considering buying. Items can be taken in and out of the cart (database) prior to buying. Virtual shopping carts are used in order to make the shopping experience more efficient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinarily skill in the art at the time of the invention modify the selection of products taught by Yon to include storage of the selected product in a virtual shopping cart as taught by *How the Internet Works*. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the references in order to make the shopping experience of Yon more efficient.

Claims 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yon in view of Homma et al.

Yon, as described in detail above teaches all the claimed limitation expect:

With respect to claim 10, You does not specifically teach a virtual item coloring processing unit which displays a virtual item conforming to actual requirements.

Homma teaches a visual merchandising control method and system that includes an article display image in a simulated manner. Namely, an image similar to an image actually viewed in a store is presented on a display (see for example column 12, lines 25-44, column 15, lines 46-54 and Figure 23E). Homma further teaches that such features provide a time-saving effect as a user gets to see a representation of the product before purchasing (see for example column 1, lines 50-59).

On of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the system of Yon to include a virtual item coloring processing unit as taught by Homma.

Art Unit: 3627

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification in order to provide customers with a time-saving effect.

With respect to claims 13 and 14, Yon teaches does not specifically teach an available stock confirming unit (claim 13) nor a price supplying unit (claim 14). Examiner notes that Yon does teach the ability to order a quantity of a product in an available color that matches the target color (see for example column 5, lines 63-67).

Homma teaches a visual merchandising control method and system that includes a outputting merchandise information to a user including stock confirmation (see for example Figure 21) and price (see for example column 10, lines 48-59). Homma teaches this information is displayed for an easy recognition of the state of display articles (see for example column 10, lines 63-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the ordering process of Yon to include an indication of stock availability as well as price, as taught by Homma. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification in order to easily recognize the stat of the display articles.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the combination of Yon in view of "How the Internet Works" does not teach the limitations of independent claims 1, 12, 16, 18 or 19. Specifically, the cited references fail to teach a user database that stores use information including requirements data, which the conditions-designating processor utilizes in order to create and display the conditions-designating screen.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically, Yon teaches a providing to the customer a "custom color request form." (see for example Abstract lines 7-9). Examiner takes the position that this is the conditions-designating screen. Specifically, the form is customized based on data input by the user (i.e. uses). Yon does not teach storing these uses in a customer database. In other words, every time a user accesses the system of Yon they must renter the use data before Yon can customize the form. As a result, Examiner utilizes "How the Internet Works" to teaches shopping carts and digital wallets, which store customer preference data in a customer database. The system use this information each time a user accesses them in order to customize the interaction. Examiner believes that the combination of these features with Yon's custom color request form, represents Applicant's claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272 6783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272 6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,823 Page 7

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (to/11-free).

James A. K

Art Unit 3627

jak